| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|--|--|--|
|  | 10/614,104   | ABE ET AL.   |
|  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|  | Mike Fatahiyar   | 2629   |
| All Participants:  | Status of Application:   | _  |
| (1) Mike Fatahiyar.  | (3)  | :  |
| (2) Mr. John R. Mattingly.   | (4)  |  |
| Date of Interview: 26 April 2006   | Time:  |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No   | ant's representative)  |  |
| If Yes, provide a brief description:   |  |  |
| Part I.  |  | : · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                    |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  |  |  |
| Claims discussed:  |  |  |
| Prior art documents discussed: None  |  |  |
| Part II.   |  | ·  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Mr. Mattingly agreed to the changes suggested by the examiner and entered by the examiner's amendment.  |  |  |
| Part III.  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul> | e examiner will provide a writtone ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
|  |  | ·  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | •  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)   |  |  |